IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.332 OF 2005

Rajesh @ Pawan Kumar Nirmal
Kumar Thakur ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Sudeep Pasbola for Applicant.

Mr.R.Y.Mirza, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JANUARY 31, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. As it is not in dispute that co-accused have already been released on bail by the Sessions Court, the Applicant, assuming, having participated in the alleged offence, the role is no different from that of the co-accused, is entitled for bail on parity. The Applicant is accordingly granted

bail on the following terms :

(a) The Applicant is granted bail in connection with C.R.No.202 of 2004 registered with Samata Nagar Police Station on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) with two local sureties in the like amount.

(b) The Applicant shall report to the concerned Police Station every Sunday as well as on every date of the trial before the Court. This condition is imposed, as the Applicant has no permanent place of residence in Mumbai.

(c) The Applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(d) The Applicant shall extend full co-operation to the Trial Court for early disposal of the trial and if the Trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is responsible for the delay of the trial, that fact be recorded in the

Roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.